

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/065,343	10/07/2002	Chen-Chun Chen	9527-US-PA	2210	
31561 7:	590 11/06/2003		EXAMINER		
JIANQ CHYUN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OFFICE			GILMAN, ALEXANDER		
7 FLOOR-1, N ROOSEVELT	IO. 100 ROAD, SECTION 2		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TAIPEI, 100			2833		
TAIWAN			DATE MAILED: 11/06/2003	DATE MAILED: 11/06/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No. Applicant(s)						
Office Action Comments	10/065,343	CHEN, CHEN-CHUN					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	1				
	Alexander Gilman	2833	MW				
The MAILING DATE of this communication apportunity  Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespond nce add	dress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 A	ugust 2003 .						
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ Thi	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) $\boxtimes$ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	ted or b)⊡ objected to <b>by the Exa</b>	miner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	ly to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	i)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ol>	s have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No					
<ul> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur</li> <li>See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the prior application.</li> </ul>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		Stage				
	·		application).				
<ul> <li>14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).</li> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> </ul>							
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	• •						
Attachment(s)							
1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No( Patent Application (PT0					
S. Patent and Trademark Office							

Application/Control Number: 10/065,343

Art Unit: 2833

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Claim s 1 and 10 in lines 7=8 and 10 respectively recite "wherein the DC plug is detachable from the DC connector port".

The specification does not describe how the separation of the DC plug from the DC connector port can be made. Contrary to that the specification (p. 5, para. 0025) suggests a structural features " ... to prevent a separation of the DC plug (218) from the DC connector port (214) while allowing a relative rotation there between. "

For purposes of examination it was accepted according to the specification that the DC plug is not detachable from the DC connector port.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

Claims 1-5, 8-11 are, as they can be understood due to the 112 problem, rejected under 35
 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Groves et al in view of Tomaro and the Admitted prior art.

With regard to claims 1, 5, and 10, Groves et al (US 6,402,546) disclose (Fig. 42) a power adapter comprising:

a main body, including a parallelepiped casing (402, 408) which encloses a PCB (col. 17, lines 20-29), a DC connector port;

a DC wire connected to a DC plug;

an AC connector port;

an AC wire connected to the AC connector port.

Groves et al do not disclose that the DC plug mates the DC connector port in a freely rotatable manner.

Tomaro (US 3,937,543) discloses (Fig. 3) a plug (24) which mates the DC connector port in a freely rotatable manner.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to rotatably connect the DC wire with the casing, as taught by Tomaro, to prevent twisting the DC wire (Tomaro, col. 1, lines 24-26).

With regard to claims 2, 3, 10, Groves et al when modified by Tomaro disclose (Tomaro) an opening (29) in the casing engaged with a slot (22) in the plug.

With regard to claims 4 and 11, Groves et al when modified by Tomaro disclose (Tomaro) a stress-buffer structure (24).

With regard to claims 8 and 9, Groves et al when modified by Tomaro disclose (Groves et al, Fig. 42) a third and a fourth terminals.

2. Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Groves et al in view of Tomaro, as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Bean.

Groves et al when modified by Tomaro disclose al of the limitations except for connecting the DC plug to the casing within a recessed cavity in the casing.

Bean (US 6,428,348) discloses (Fig. 2) a DC plug (42) being connected to the casing within a recessed cavity in the casing.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to connect the Groves-Tomaro DC plug to the casing within a recessed cavity in the casing, as taught by Bean, to conveniently store the DC wire.

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 08/28/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the prior art fails to disclose the DC plug being detachable from the DC connector port. As it was shown in the rejection based on pa.112, this limitation is not supported by the specification and contradicts with the structure described.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander Gilman whose telephone number is (703) 305-0847. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 10:30 a.m. - 8:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paula A. Bradley can be reached on (703) 308-2319. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Art Unit: 2833

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4900.

11/04/2003

alex Gilman

ALEXAMO SILMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER

ALEXANDER GILMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER